In the course of these incidents in the City and Vellore, about 93 Police Officers were hit by stones and injured. These include the Commissioner of Police at Madras and the Vice-Principal of the Police Training College at Vellore.

The Police took vigorous action to patrol the affected areas and to round up known rowdy elements in a determined bid to curb lawlessness. Besides those arrested for picketing, about 1,800 people were arrested in the City of Madras and about 23 in Vellore. Of these more than a thousand have already been convicted for disorderly conduct.

Of the 1,800 persons arrested for picketing about 1,600 persons have been released on their tendering unconditional apology expressing regret for their behaviour and giving an assurance that such behaviour will not be repeated. More letters of apology have been received and are under scrutiny.

Charge sheets have been ordered to be laid against the others and so far more than 4,000 charge sheets have been laid. Government are anxious that these cases should be disposed of as expeditiously as possible and, therefore, propose to appoint Special Magistrates in Tiruchirappalli, Madurai, Coimbatore and Thanjavur, where there is a large congregation of these prisoners.

During the period of trial, Government have issued orders that all Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Council and Members of Parliament as well as former Members of the Legislature and Parliament and the Mayor and the Deputy Mayor should, if in Central Jails, be placed in the Special Class and if in sub-jails, be allowed special class treatment. These under-trials have been given special facilities for bringing food from their home and from outside and in many cases have been allowed to use their own bedding and mosquito curtains. I mention these to show that every effort has been made to treat the prisoners with special consideration while in jail.

Government are gratified to note that their efforts to put down lawlessness have had the unqualified support of all sections of the public. I may state without fear of contradiction that the thoughtless demonstrations initiated by the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam and the violent and unruly acts that followed in its wake, have created a sense of disgust and revulsion in the minds of the people. Government are happy that public opinion has stood solidly behind the Police in their endeavour to maintain law and order in the face of violent provocation.

(The hon. Member Sri K. S. Abdul Wahab rose in his place.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: **There can be no discussion on the state-**

III.—AMENDMENTS TO NOTIFICATION ISSUED WITH G.O. Ms. No. 1190, LOCAL ADMINISTRATION, DATED 23RD MAY 1962, RELATING TO THE CONFIRMATION OF THE DRAFT RULES FOR THE CO-OPTION AS COUNCILLORS OF PERSONS BELONGING TO SCHEDULED CASTES BY THE ELECTED MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.

SRI M. SESHACHARIAR : Mr. Chairman, I move—

"That the following amendments to the notification issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1190, Local Administration, dated 23rd May 1962 relating to the confirmation of the draft rules for the co-option as Councillors of persons belonging to Scheduled Castes by the elected Members of the Council, published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 24th May 1962, as carried in the Legislative Assembly, be agreed to :—

Amendments.

In the rules issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1190, Local Administration, dated 23rd May 1962—

(i) for sub-rule (1) of rule 4, substitute the following :—

"Every candidate for co-option as a Councillor shall be proposed by a member and seconded by another member of the Corporation. Such nomination shall be in the form appended to these rules and shall be signed by the proposer and the seconder and by the candidate who is proposed in it. The nomination paper shall be accompanied by a certificate showing that the candidate belongs to the Scheduled Caste, attested by any Gazetted Officer or Commissioner, Corporation of Madras, or any Head of a Department of the Corporation of Madras, or Magistrate."

(ii) In the form of nomination paper mentioned in the appendix to the rules, add the following after the words 'Singnature of the candidate' and before the word 'Date'—

"Serial number in the Electoral Roll."

Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am obliged to move this on the ground that the same has been accepted by the other House. A resolution moved by Sri Kalyanasundaram proposing these amendments has been accepted by the other House. The main reason for these amendments is this. Previously any nomination paper that had to be filed had to be done by one of the Councillors within the ten divisions. Perhaps one of them could second it. The proposal is that any Councillor of the Corporation can propose and second. That is the purport of the amendment. The other House has accepted it. This House also may kindly accept it.

The motion was duly seconded.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, it is stated that every candidate for co-option as a Councillor shall be proposed by a member and seconded by another member of the Corporation. There is no such thing as a member of the Corporation. There is only a Council of the Corporation.

MR. CHAIRMAN : There is a Council of the Corporation.

[1st August 1962

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Yes, Sir. It should be 'a member of the Corporation Council'.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Sir, we are obliged to the hon. Member Sri K. Balasubramanya Ayyar for having carefully scrutinised the notification. Actually it should be 'a member of the Corporation Council' and not a mere 'member of the Corporation'. The word 'Council' should be there. Therefore, the hon. Member may move the amendment.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: It has to go to the other House.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Yes.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Then, Sir, the other 3-40 p.m. thing is about the nomination paper. The candidate signs the nomination paper indicating his consent to being nominated. Therefore, 'signifying his consent' must be there. 'The candidate signing' has no meaning. The candidate signs because he consents to be nominated. Therefore, that must be there.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I have got the form of the nomination paper before me. The form itself contains the statement 'I consent to this nomination'. Therefore, it is enough if it is signed. As far as the first part of the question is concerned, though it is more elegant language to say 'Member of the Corporation Council' and mere 'Member of the Corporation' would not convey what we intend to, I find in the rules issued by the Government that the words Member of the Corporation' have been used to denote 'Member of the Corporation Council'. So, while I agree that it is more correct and proper language to say 'Member of the Corporation Council', it may not be necessary to amend it at this stage because, while read with the other rules, the word 'Member' here would only mean 'Member of the Corporation Council'. Therefore, there is no necessity for the hon. Member to move his amendment.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR: Then, I am not moving the amendment, Sir.

The third thing I want to refer to is this. There must be a specific time-limit for the withdrawal of the nomination. Otherwise, it will lead to great difficulty. Once there is a rule for the filing of the nomination, there must be another rule regarding withdrawal of nomination within a specified time.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Chairman, Sir this is part of the rules for election and only one part of it is sought to be amended by the hon. Member in the other House.

Therefore, the procedure with regard to filing of nomination, scrutiny and withdrawal of nomination and every other thing is there in the rules.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

'That the following amendments to the notification issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1190, Local Administration, dated 23rd May 1962 relating to the confirmation of the draft rules for the co-option as Councillors of persons belonging to Scheduled Castes by the elected members of the Council, published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 24th May 1962, as carried in the Legislative Assembly, be agreed to :

Amendments.

In the rules issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1190, Local Administration, dated 23rd May 1962.—

(i) for sub-rule (1) of rule 4, substitute the following :

"Every candidate for co-option as a Councillor shall be proposed by a member and seconded by another member of the Corporation. Such nomination shall be in the form appended to these rules and shall be signed by the proposer and the seconder and by the candidate who is proposed in it. The nomination paper shall be accompanied by a certificate showing that the candidate belongs to the Scheduled Caste, attested by any Gazetted Officer or Commissioner, Corporation of Madras, or any Head of a Department of the Corporation of Madras, or Magistrate".

(ii) In the form of nomination paper mentioned in the Appendix to the rules, add the following after the words 'Signature of the candidate' and before the word 'Date'—

"Serial number in the Electoral Roll".

The motion was put and carried.

IV.—NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTIONS.

(1) ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The hon. Member Dr. A. Chidambaranathan may move his resolution formaly and get it seconded. The discussion on it will be taken up on the next non-official day as the Hon. Minister is not here.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move :

'This Council recommends to the Government that a Committee be constituted to review the whole position of elementary and secondary education in the State and to suggest measures